Inter-skyrmion forces

Bruno Barton-Singer

May 14, 2025



Work with Bernd Schroers, Stavros Komineas

Bruno Barton-Singer

Inter-skyrmion forces



The headlines

- Magnetic solitons and the chiral magnet
- 3 General formula for soliton interactions
 - Forces between magnetic skyrmions
 - Axisymmetric solitons
 - Non-axisymmetric solitons
 - Bilayer skyrmions in synthetic antiferromagnets
 - Skyrmions in tilted field
- Predictions for dynamics: colliding skyrmions



Motivating question: Do topological textures repel or attract?

- If we know the profile of the 'tails' of two solitons in isolation, we can calculate the energy due to their interaction at long distance.
- The tails solve the linearised Euler-Lagrange equations so are easier to understand.
- Knowledge of the tails could come from analysis or numerics: either way, we have saved energy.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Magnetic skyrmions

Axisymmetric skyrmions in chiral magnets have been observed many times.



¹Du et al. 2018.

Bruno Barton-Singer

Inter-skyrmion forces

✓ □ > < □ > < □ >
May 14, 2025

Aside: colouring conventions

To represent configurations, we colour each point in the plane according to the direction of the magnetisation: black for down, white for up, ROYGBIV around the equator.



Other magnetic solitons



Bruno Barton-Singer

Inter-skyrmion forces

May 14, 2025

Chiral magnet continuum model



Gauge transformations $\mathbf{n} \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{A}_i \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_i$ relate different models

 $\mathbf{A}_i = -kR(\alpha)\mathbf{e}_i$, 'axisymmetric DMI' is most commonly considered. If $\alpha = 0$: Bloch DMI $k\mathbf{n} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{n})$.

Calculating soliton interactions

-

Rough idea: calculate energy of configurations at finite separation, as a function of separation.

-

$$V_{int}(R) = E(\mathbf{n}[R]) - E(\mathbf{n}_A) - E(\mathbf{n}_B)$$

... but how do we define these configurations? They are by nature not static.

Constructing *n* as a function of \vec{R} :

- superposition ansatz
- *n* is minimal energy configuration subject to some constraint
- **n** achieved dynamically by some gradient flow_

Bruno Barton-Singer

Inter-skyrmion forces

It doesn't matter which method you choose! Provided that we assume:

- In the linearized regime (far from both skyrmions), our configuration looks like a linear superposition of the tails of each isolated soliton
- the perturbation of one soliton on the core of the other is proportional to the size of the tail of the first soliton
- the tails fall off exponentially

the leading term as R becomes large is ansatz-independent and depends purely on the tails of the isolated solitons. 2

²Piette, Schroers, and Zakrzewski 1994; Kameda et al. 2021; Barton-Singer and Schroers 2023.

General result: sketch

Call the perturbation of soliton B on soliton A $\epsilon^B = \exp_{\mathbf{n}^A}^{-1}(\mathbf{n})$ Call the tail of soliton B $\psi^B = \exp_{\mathbf{n}_0}^{-1}(\mathbf{n}^B)$.

Then the interaction energy will be dominated by the first variation of the energy in one half-plane with respect to the perturbation coming from the other:

$$d_{\boldsymbol{n}} E_{\sigma^{A}}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \int_{\sigma^{A}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \cdot (\mathsf{EL}(\boldsymbol{n})) + \underbrace{\int_{\partial \sigma^{A}} f(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})}_{\alpha(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})}$$

and the bulk term will disappear since \boldsymbol{n} is approximately a static solution, leaving the boundary term far from both solitons where, due to linear superposition, $\epsilon^{A,B} \rightarrow \psi^{A,B}$, (and both $\sim e^{-mr}$). Thus:

$$V_{int}(\vec{R}) \sim \underbrace{d_{n_0} \alpha(\psi_A, \psi_B)}_{O(e^{-mR})} + O(e^{-\frac{3}{2}mR})$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

- Derive Euler-Lagrange equations of your energy, keeping track of boundary terms
- **2** Take just the boundary term, and vary again to get bilinear form: $\int_{\partial \sigma^A} \epsilon(\ldots) \epsilon'$.
- Find tails by solving linearised Euler-Lagrange equations
- Plug tails into boundary integral, antisymmetrising: $V_{\text{int}} = \int_{\partial \sigma^A} \psi^A(\ldots) \psi^B - \int_{\partial \sigma^A} \psi^B(\ldots) \psi^A.$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- Derive Euler-Lagrange equations of your energy, keeping track of boundary terms
- **2** Take just the boundary term, and vary again to get bilinear form: $\int_{\partial \sigma^A} \epsilon(\ldots) \epsilon'$.
- Find tails by solving linearised Euler-Lagrange equations
- Plug tails into boundary integral, antisymmetrising: $V_{\text{int}} = \int_{\partial \sigma^A} \psi^A(\ldots) \psi^B - \int_{\partial \sigma^A} \psi^B(\ldots) \psi^A.$

We will now apply this formula to various scenarios in the chiral magnet.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Result for the general chiral magnet model

$$E(\boldsymbol{n}) = \int \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \partial_i \boldsymbol{n} + \boldsymbol{A}_i \cdot (\boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_i \boldsymbol{n}) + h_z (1 - \boldsymbol{e}_h \cdot \boldsymbol{n}) + h_a (1 - n_3^2) d^2 x$$

Introducing the complex field $\psi = \psi_1 + i\psi_2$, and $a_i = A_i \cdot n_0$

$$V_{\rm int}(\vec{R}) = 2Re \int_{\partial A} (\bar{\psi}_B(\vec{\partial} - i\vec{a})\psi_A) \cdot d\vec{S} + O(e^{-\frac{3}{2}mR})$$

- Potential terms do not (directly) contribute.
- *A_i* generally lie in plane (even for relatively low symmetry), and typically *n*₀ || *e*₃, so the DMI, too, may not feature.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Axisymetric solitons

Simplest case: our energy is rotationally symmetric ($\boldsymbol{e}_h = \boldsymbol{e}_3$, $\boldsymbol{A}_i = -k\boldsymbol{e}_i \implies \vec{a} = \vec{0}$) and we look for solitons that keep this symmetry.



By symmetry, individual tail has form $\psi = iq_1 e^{i\phi} K_1(mr)$ (dipole source, fixed orientation), where $m = \sqrt{h_z + 2h_a}$.

$$V_{\rm int}(\vec{R}) \sim 2Re\left(\int_{\partial\sigma_A} (\bar{\psi}^B \vec{\partial} \psi^A) \cdot d\vec{S}
ight) \propto q_1^A q_1^B K_0(mR),$$

and thus they always repel.³ ³Foster et al. 2019.

Bruno Barton-Singer

May 14, 2025

Non-axisymmetric skyrmions

Many non-axisymmetric skyrmions are possible where chirality reverses in localised 'kinks'. Since they spontaneously break axisymmetry, they have a rotational zero mode.



For *some orientations*, these non-axisymmetric skyrmions attract both axisymmetric skyrmions and each other.

- Tails: in general, $\psi = \sum_{M} q_{M} e^{iM\phi + i\gamma_{M}} K_{M}(mr)$, with γ_{n} fixed by symmetry as function of orientation.
- But most importantly for us, ψ does not attain 0 in linear regime: winding around core of soliton is preserved far away.

Bruno Barton-Singer

Inter-skyrmion forces

Non-axisymmetric solitons part 2

We can substitute the general multipole expansion into the interaction energy:

$$V_{\text{int}}(R,\chi) \sim \sum_{M,N} \# q_M^A q_N^B \cos(\gamma_M^A - \gamma_N^B + (M-N)\chi) K_{|M-N|}(mR)$$

Already this allows us to predict interaction quantitatively using numerically found multipole sources of antiskyrmion, etc. But we can go further: in 1/x expansion for $K_n(x)$, we see leading interaction is just inner product of tails at midpoint between skyrmions:

$$V_{ ext{int}}(ec{R}) = -\psi^A(R,\chi)\cdot\psi^B(R,\chi+\pi)e^{mR}\sqrt{mR} + O\left(rac{e^{-mR}}{(mR)^rac{3}{2}}
ight)$$

Because each ψ winds around e_3 as we vary orientation, we can make this interaction negative: in general, non-axisymmetric solitons repel or attract depending on orientation.

Bruno Barton-Singer

Bilayer skyrmions

Two chiral magnet layers with antiferromagnetic interaction between them:

$$E(\boldsymbol{n}^U, \boldsymbol{n}^L) = E(\boldsymbol{n}^U) + E(\boldsymbol{n}^L) + j_c \int \boldsymbol{n}^U \cdot \boldsymbol{n}^L d^2 x$$

Bilayer skyrmions and monolayer skyrmions both stable solutions Monolayer skyrmion has corresponding topologically trivial 'shadow' on other layer, with its own tail.

Result

- Dipole charge of monolayer skyrmion and shadow are equal.
- All solutions repel, with same profile independent of j_c .
- In particular, two monolayer skyrmions on opposite layers have energy barrier to combining into a bilayer skyrmion.
- At small *j_c*, two monolayer skyrmions have same interaction energy when on same or opposite layers

Tilted field: numerical comparison



Overlap of DMI and \boldsymbol{n}_0 puts oscillation on top of tail:

$$\psi = e^{-i\vec{a}\cdot\vec{r}}\tilde{\psi}, \ \tilde{\psi} = \sum_{M} q_{M}e^{iM\phi + i\gamma_{M}}K_{M}(mr), \ m = \sqrt{h_{z}^{2} - |\vec{a}|^{2}}$$

We can find q_M numerically. The interaction energy is

$$V_{\rm int}(\vec{R}) = 2Re\left(e^{-i\vec{a}\cdot\vec{R}}\int_{\partial\sigma_A}(\bar{\psi}^B\vec{\partial}\bar{\psi}^A)\cdot d\vec{S}\right) + O(e^{-\frac{3}{2}mR})$$

Tilted field: numerical comparison

 $V_{\text{int}}(R,\chi) = \sum_{M,N} \frac{(-1)^{N+1}}{2\pi} m^{|M|+|N|} q_M^A q_N^B \cos(\gamma_M^A - \gamma_N^B - aR \cos \chi + (M-N)\chi) K_{|M-N|}(mR)$



4

⁴Kuchkin and Kiselev 2020; Barton-Singer and Schroers 2023.

Bruno	Barton	Singer
Diano	Darton	Singer

Inter-skyrmion forces

Bonus: Colliding skyrmions in antiferromagnets⁵

- Antiferromagnets have same static energy functional for Néel vector, but dynamics is $(\ddot{n})_{\perp} = -\left(\frac{\delta E}{\delta n}\right)_{\perp}$
- This allows for freely propagating skyrmions. Question: what happens when two propagating skyrmions collide?
- So far, discussing 'repelling' or 'attracting' implicitly assume that the dynamics only involves \vec{R} varying as a function of time.
- However, a positive interaction energy could also be lowered by lowering q₁, which is linked to skyrmion size. (Explicit formula at small size.)

Thus:

$$\ddot{\vec{R}} = -\frac{\partial V_{\text{int}}}{\partial \vec{R}}, \quad \ddot{q}_1^{A,B} = -\frac{\partial V_{\text{int}}}{\partial q_1^{A,B}} - E_{Sk}'(q_1^{A,B})$$

Collision excites breathing dynamics.

⁵Theodorou, Barton-Singer, and Komineas 2025.

Bruno Barton-Singer

Inter-skyrmion forces

May 14, 2025

19 / 20

Summary

- We described a recipe for calculating the leading term of inter-soliton forces ansatz-independent so reflects something fundamental.
- We see the results this gives for standard Bloch skyrmions as well as more exotic cases.
- Analytical predictions give good agreement with numerics.
- Even when numerical simulation is needed to fix constants in interaction term, we only need to simulate each isolated soliton once.
- Attraction or repulsion is not (directly) related to topological charge.
- Just because two skyrmions would annihilate or combine to form something with lower energy, their interactions need not be attractive.
- A polarised background tilted so that it overlaps with the DMI vectors gives rise to oscillating interactions.

(日)

3